

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/660,822	09/12/2003	Huy Phan	03-035 (US01)	7042
	23410 Vista IP Law G	7590 01/17/200 roup LLP		EXAM	INER
	2040 MAIN ST	REET, 9TH FLOOR		GIBSON, ROY DEAN	
	IRVINE, CA 9	2614	ŕ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3739	
_				-	
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS			01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				C			
	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
		0/660,822	PHAN, HUY				
Office Action Summa	ery E	xaminer	Art Unit				
		oy D. Gibson	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>24 Octol</u>	<u>ber 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in co		•					
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2-4,9-13,17,27,29,30),32,34,35,37,39,40,	42,45-62 and 64-73 is/are pe	ending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn f	from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>2-4,9,11-13,17,27,29</u>	9,30,32,34,35,42,45 <u>-</u>	47,51-62 and 64-73 is/are al	lowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>10,37,39,49 and 50</u>	s/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40 and 48</u> is/are obj	ected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) ☐ accepte	ed or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the drav	wing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	cluding the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).			
11) The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Exam	iner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Nor 1. ☐ Certified copies of the	e of: priority documents ha	ave been received.					
	=	ave been received in Applica					
3. Copies of the certified of	•		ved in this National Stage				
application from the Int		` ''	d				
* See the attached detailed Offic	e action for a list of t	ne certified copies not receiv	ea.				
Attachment(s)		_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 	eview (PTO-049)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO: Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/660,822

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 37, 39, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heckele et al. (6,176,855).

Heckele et al. disclose a medical probe for use with tissue, comprising:

an elongate member (Figure 4, # 7) having a proximal end and a distal end;

an operative element (laser # 10) carried at the distal end of the elongate

member; and a stabilizing shroud (sheath # 1 with annular vacuum channel and

stabilizer # 14) circumscribing at least a portion of the operative element, the shroud

comprising a wall and one vacuum port located on the wall for applying a vacuum force

to secure the operative element relative to the tissue (col. 2, line 21-col. 3, line 17).

The claim was amended to read "a plurality of vacuum ports" in order to overcome this reference. However, the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to a skillful artisan to provide at least two vacuum ports to provide a greater and more uniform attachment to tissue, as is well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 9, 11-13, 17, 27, 29, 30, 32, 34, 35, 42, 45-47, 51-62, 64-73 are allowed.

Claims 40, 48, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit: 3739

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roy D. Gibson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

January 8, 2007